

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/787,009	FRANCUSKI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		A. J. HEINZ	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2007.	·	
-	·	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				
t aport total training sale				

Application/Control Number: 10/787,009

Art Unit: 2627

1. The claims being reviewed for this instant office actionare the original claims 1-11 filed 25 February 2004.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1,4,6,7&10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Akahori.

See Fig. 1. Note, to the extent claimed and understood, the structure as shown in Addendum A (see first office action, paper dated 16 August 2006) reads on and performs to the same degree as claimed.

Art Unit: 2627

Applicant further remarks that Akahori's disc clamp centering tube (see Addendum A) does not perform the claimed function of centering the disc clamp. The examiner with reference to both the claims and disclosure (specification and drawings) notes that the disclosure fails to elaborate in any more detail than the same recitation that is used in claims that the centering tube centers the discs clamp... there is no showing in the drawings of even the clamping mechanism much less how it cooperates with the centering tube. Note; reference number 38 indicated as the disc clamp cannot be located in any of the drawings, nor can it be located in the specification. Moreover the specification is also silent as to the cooperation between the disc clamp centering tube and the disc clamp and/or the recited function of 'the disc clamp centering'.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/787,009

Art Unit: 2627

art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be 'negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2,3,5,8,9&11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Akahori as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view that official notice is taken for the different types of bearings and materials used for fabrication in bearing unit construction in spindle motors.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use conical hydrodynamic bearings and fabricate a hub and tube of the same material in spindle motor construction as it is was well known in the art.

Rationale: the substitution of different bearing devices or materials are simply alternative means of achieving the same results for the same purposes as the claimed means and therefore are readily substitutable equivalents.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this

Art Unit: 2627

action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. J. HEINZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-7587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DWAYNE BOST can be reached on (571)272-7023.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. J. HEINZ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

A. J. Juns